

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 16-20, 29, 30, 32, and 33 are pending. Claims 4, 16, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, and claims 1, 3-7, 10, 29, 30, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103. Claims 5 and 16 have been amended, and claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 29, 30, 32, and 33 have been canceled. No new matter has been added.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 4, 16, 29, and 30 are rejected as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In particular, the Examiner rejects claim 16 as follows: "Claim 16 discloses '... wherein the pixel electrodes formed on the blue filter and transparent filter have a smaller area than the pixel electrodes formed on the red or green filters.' Does applicant mean pixel areas? or the pixel electrodes that are being connected to the data lines? Examiner will assume applicant means the area of each of the blue and white pixels."

Claim 16 has been amended to recite that "the pixel areas corresponding to the blue filter and transparent filter are smaller than the pixel areas corresponding to the red or green filters." Support for the amendment is found in Applicant's specification at page 5, line 29 to page 6, line 9. As stated more particularly at page 6, lines 5-9, the size comparison is between pixel areas R, G, B, and W. Thus, the Examiner's assumption is correct and claim 16 has been amended to more distinctly recite this. As a result, Applicant believes claim 16 is now definite under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Claims 4, 29, and 30 are canceled.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Rejections Under 35 USC §103

Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 29, 30, 32, and 33 are rejected under various references under 35 U.S.C. 103. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 29, 30, 32, and 33 are canceled, leaving only claim 5 pending.

Claim 5 is amended to depend on independent claim 16, which has been indicated as being allowable if the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 above are overcome. Applicant believes claim 16 is now allowable, and as a result, claim 5 is now also allowable.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowability of claims 16-20. Claims 16-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, as discussed above. However, the Examiner indicated that claims 16-20 would be allowable if the 112 rejection was overcome, which Applicant believes is now the case. Consequently, claims 16-20 are now allowable.

CONCLUSION


For the foregoing reasons, Applicants believes that the pending claims 5 and 16-20 are allowable, and a notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding the application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned Attorney at (949) 752-7040.

Certificate of Transmission

Certificate of Transmission: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) via the USPTO's electronic filing system on the date below.

Electronically Filed by:


Annie McNally

Dated: November 11, 2008

Respectfully submitted,



Tom Chen
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 42,406